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#### Abstract

A collection of fossil marsupials, recovered from freshwater limestones at Limeburner's Point near Geelong, Victoria, Australia, is described. The fauna, here named the Limeburner's Point Local Fauna, contains two species of Sarcophilus Boitard, one near S. harrisii (Boitard) and S. lamiarius (Owen), and another large species; a large vombatid near Lasiorhinus medius (Owen); a new species of Simosihenurus Tedford, S. mecoyi, intermediate in size between S. orientalis (Tedford) and S. pales (De Vis); two wallabies, one near Macropus (Notomucropus) parryi Bemnett, the other cf. Wallabia bicolor (Desmarest); a large indeterminate maeropod: two diprotodontids, Diprotodon "longiceps" MeCoy that is here referred to D. optatum Owen; and an indeterminate species of Zygomaturus Macleay. Most of these taxa belong to extinct lineages. The faunal assemblage indicates a mid-Pleistocene age which is in aceordance with recent conclusions based on magnetic polarity stratigraphic analysis and earlier stratigraphic correlations.
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## INTRODUCTION

Limeburner's Point, formerly known as Point Galena, is located on the east edge of Geelong, Victoria, Australia ( $38^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 144^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) (Fig. 1). Approximately 50 specimens, registered in the collections of the Museum of Vietoria, are designated the Limeburner's Point Local Fauna. This material was collected over a period of years from the mid 19th to early 20th century when the lime kilns were active. McCoy (1876), Keble (1945) and most recently Whitelaw (1991; in press) have mentioned the fauna or various elements of it. No prior systematic treatment has been given although Gill (1964) referred to the Diprotodon "longiceps" Mc Coy specimen in a paper in the Basalt Plains Symposium.

The dental enumeration follows Archer (1978). Abbreviations used in the text are: LBP,

Limeburners Point; AMNH, American Museum of Natural History; AM, Australian Museum: BMNH, British MuseumNatural History; FMNH, Field Muscum of Natural History; NMV, Museum of Victoria; QM, Qucensland Museum; TMM, Texas Memorial Museum; UT, University of Texas.

## STRATIGRAPHY AND AGE

The limestone and associated deposits at this locality were first recorded by Daintree (1863, fide Keble 1945) in his report on the survey of Quarter Sheet 24 S. E. where it was called Galena Point. Located on the south side of the Inner Harbour of Corio Bay, a western arm of Port Philip Bay, Galena Point rises rather steeply from the sea to about 21 m ( 70 feet). Keble quotes from the Daintree report:
"The section afforded in the thickest part of the limestone deposit at Limeburner's Point is:

| 7 feet | Marly clay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 feet | Ferruginous sandy clay with marine shells | Marine shells |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { feet } \\ & 6 \text { inches } \end{aligned}$ | Rubbly limestone |  |
| 3 feet 6 inches | Thin bedded lime-stone |  |
| 7 feet | very compact limestone principal bed used for lime | Freshwater shells, Planorbis, Lymnea, |
| 6 feet | Rubbly thin- <br> bedded <br> ferruginouslimestone resting on Miocene tertiary marl | etc." |

At this point Keble, without closing the quote, adds the comment: "This closely agrees with Note 3 printed on the margin of the sheet." He then goes on to state: "It will be noted that the freshwater shells are identical with those obtained in the well (p. 30) in the Duck Ponds limestone." He continues: "at Limeburner's Point Diprotodon longiceps was obtained in situ in the 7 foot bed of 'very compact limestone'". Both McCoy (1876) and Pritchard (1895) had previously mentioned the $D$. longiceps specimen.

McCoy (1876) apparently, and Keble (1945) certainly, assumed that the Diprotodon Iongiceps specimen in the Duck Ponds Local Fauna came from the Lara Limestone unit contained within the stratigraphic section at the nearby Duck Ponds site. The Lara and Limcburner's Point limestones have long been considercd equivalent, either as extensions of the same limestone (Lara), or as independent, but time equivalent, deposits. The presence of $D$. longiceps recovered in situ at Limeburner's Point doubtless reinforced that assumption. However Wilkinson (1972) has demonstrated conclusively that while the two limestones are indeed laterally equivalent deposits, at Duck Ponds, the D. longiceps


Fig. 1. Geological map showing the location of Limeburners's Point near Geelong, Victoria, the source of the Limeburner's Point Local Fauna. Map courtesy of M. J. Whitelaw and by permission of Quaternary Research.
material came not from the limestone itself, but from the underlying fluviatile sediments.

Recent studics by Whitelaw (1991; in press) of the magnetic stratigraphy of the Limeburncr's Point section has clarified the age relationships of this sequence and its correlates at the Duck Ponds sitc. Stratigraphic data show that the sediments containing the Duck Ponds Local Fauna lie above a basalt that has been correlated with one 7 km ENE, dated at 1.66 Ma (Wilkinson 1972; Aziz-ur-Rahman and McDougal 1972: Woodburne et al. 1985). This basalt has reversed polarity indicating that it is younger than the normal Olduvai Subchron (1.86-1.66 Ma) and
within the younger part of the Matuyama Chron. The Limeburner's Point sediments are also younger than 1.66 Ma and because they have normal magnetic polarity, they must fall into one of the normal magnetic chrons younger than 1.66 Ma, either the Jaramillo Subchron of the Matuyama Chron ( $0.98-0.91 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) or the Brunhes Chron ( $0.73-0 \mathrm{Ma}$ ). The sediments containing the Duck Ponds Local Fauna lie below the Lara limestone correlative with the fossiliferous limestone at Limeburner"s Point and hence are older than the latter, but are unconstrained by magnetostratigraphy.

The preservation of the specimens from the thin-bedded or compact Limeburner's Point limestone is good. Some of the specimens were in partial articulation (NMV P-23267-70, 23274, 23276 and 23285 ) but most were isolated pieces. Evidence from bone fragments and tooth chips suggests preburial breakage, possibly from trampling (NMV P-23252, 23267-70, 23285). Those specimens from the rubbly limestone units show the same solutiondestruction seen in the Coimadai material reported by Turnbull et al. (1990). In one case the bone was dissolved away leaving a natural mold. This was filled with epoxy providing a cast that was identified as a crushed. obliquely distorted, distal portion of a ?macropodid femur, comparable in size to onc of the living large kangaroos. One specimen is unique in being partially enveloped in calcite crystals and a black mineral that appears to be manganese oxide. The latter material also occurs as irregular blebs within the otherwise dense limestone matrix.

## SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Class Mammalia Subclass Theria Infraclass Metatheria (Marsupialia) Order Marsupicarnivora Family Dasyuridae Sarcophilus sp. 1 [near S. harrisil (Boitard, 1842) and S. Iatiarius (Owen, 1838)].<br>(Figs 2-3; Tables 1-2)

Material. NMV P 23236-7, a left ramus with $P_{2-3}, M_{2-5}$.
Description. This specimen is clearly assignable to Sarcophilus Cuvier but specific assignment is less certain. The mandible of the LBP
specimen differs from that of the living species, S. harrisii, in that the horizontal ramus is slightly deeper (about 2.5 cm beneath $\mathrm{M}_{+5}$ compared to about 2 cm for the living species; $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) and the masseteric fossa is deeper and more open. The ridges defining the fossa antcriorly and ventrally form an angle of about $80^{\circ}$ in the fossil compared to an angle of about $65^{\circ}$ in the living form. The ascending ramus appears to be more upright and massive than in the living species. The flange that defines the ventral margin of the masseteric fossa is thicker in the fossil. The anteroposterior length of the ascending ramus taken slightly above the top of the condyle in S. harrisii is 2.08 cm (average of four FMNH specimens). The equivalent dimension of the LBP spccimen is 2.6 cm . Although it is broken, it is clear that the coronoid process was higher in the fossil. Hence both the masseter and the temporalis portions of the masticatory musculature are proportionately more massive than in the modern species, and the insertion fields are more sharply defined.

The two lower premolars are crowded so that the anterior end of the $P_{2}$ and its anterior root labially overlap the posterior end and root of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$. Both premolars are blunt, each consisting cssentially of a swollen principal cusp fused with a broad and low "talonid" as in S. harrisii. The size relationships of the molars are $\mathrm{M}_{2}<\mathrm{M}_{3}<\mathrm{M}_{4}<=\mathrm{M}_{5}$. All have the protoconid as the largest cusp. The paraconid is absent on $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, small and almost joined to the protoconid in $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and is large in $\mathrm{M}_{4.5}$. The latter two teeth have a well developed carnassial notch between the protoconid and paraconid. All molars possess hypoconids, grading from the largest on the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ to a vestige on $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. The metaconids are small on all molars.

Discussion. Dental measurements of both LBP ${ }^{P}$ Sarcophilus species and other fossil species are given in Tablc 1; measurements of Recent $S$. harrisii are given in Table 2. The metric data and the bivariate scatter diagrams of premolars (length vs. width) and molars (length vs. anterior or posterior width) show the intermediate position of the LBP specimen of Sarcophilus sp. 1 between S. harrisii and S. Laniarius (Fig. 3). This is particularly true for $M_{4}$ and $M_{5}$ in which the samples of $S$. harrisii and late Pleistocene $S$. lauiarius show no overlap. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, in which the clouds of points of the two samples overlap slightly or almost overlap, the fossil lies close to the mean of the S. harrisii sample. For the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ where therc is some or extensive overlap of the clouds, the fossil is also

Table 1. Measurements (mm) of fossil specimens of Sarcophilus: al = alveolar; $\mathbf{u}=$ unerupted; $\mathbf{w}=$ worn.

near the mean of $S$. harrisii. In all scatter diagrams the fossil is larger than the mean of $S$. harrisii in at least one dimension.

## Sarcophilus sp. 2. <br> (Figs 4-5; Table 1)

Material. NMV P 23240. A right ramus fragment with $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, roots of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and anterior root of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, and the alveoli of the rest of the cheek teeth.

This specimen represents a new species, but is not formally named here until the discovery of better material.

Description. The caninc root is stout, oval in outline, slightly constricted lingually and is set vertically in the jaw. It measures $12.3 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. at the broken surface which is located just below the gumline. The two doublerooted premolars show the same crowding and en cchelon arrangement seen in S. laniarius and S. harrisii. The $\mathrm{M}_{2}$


Fig. 2. Sarcophilus sp. 1 (near S. laniarius and S. harrisii) NMV P 23236-7, left mandibular ramus, shown in A, labial; and $\mathbf{B}$, dorsal views.
appears to have been nearly equal in size and general proportions to the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, judging from the alveoli and roots.

The $M_{3}$ is a stout, relatively broad tooth that is heavily worn. The protoconid is the dominant cusp. It is deeply truncated by wear. The paraconid is small, and has suffered minor damage to its lingual side. The hypoconid is low and stout, the metaconid small. Both cusps are worn. There is a small anterior cingulum that extends both lingually and labially from a small cuspid at its center, its highest point.

A minute dentine fragment located at the decply abraded dorsal edge of the jaw at the rear of the tooth row appears to be the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. 1 is located 11 mm behind the back edge of the anterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. It lacks enamel and has what appears to be a pulp cavity perforation in its center. An X-ray of the specimen (Fig. 5) shows the roots and alveoli as indicated. If the questioned tooth fragment is the tip of the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ (arrow on the X -ray), it indicates a

Table 2. Measurements (mm) of modern Sarcoplilus harrisii: * = maximum width.

|  | FMNH <br> Recent Mammal Collection |  |  | Bartholomai and Marshall (1973) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P L | 5 | $6.54-7.02$ | 6.77 | 27 | $6.5 \cdot 7.4$ | 6.93 |
| W | 5 | 4.31-4.71 | 4.48 | 27 | $4.0-5.0$ | 4.50 |
| $P_{2} \quad L$ | 5 | 6.68-6.99 | 6.89 | 27 | $6.5-7.6$ | 7.19 |
| W | 5 | $5.05-5.74$ | 5.37 | 27 | $5.1-6.0$ | 5.66 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$, | 5 | $8.51-9.32$ | 8.83 | 27 | $8.3-9.3$ | 9.00 |
| W* | - | - | - | 27 | $6.0-7.0$ | 6.31 |
| AW | 5 | 6.16-6.38 | 6.24 | - | - | - |
| PW | 5 | $5.94-10.53$ | 10.28 | 27 | 10.0-10.6 | 10.19 |
| W* | - | - | - | 27 | $6.2 \cdot 7.3$ | 6.64 |
| AW | 5 | 6.26-6.97 | 6.74 | - | - | - |
| M ${ }_{4}$ | 5 | 11.66-12.14 | 11.94 | 27 | 11.2-12.4 | 11.59 |
| W* | - | - | - | 27 | 6.4-7.3 | 6.73 |
| AW | 5 | $6.26 \cdot 6.81$ | 6.66 | - | - | . |
| PW | 5 | $6.03-6.93$ | 6.60 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{5} \mathrm{~L}$ | 4 | 10.96-11.66 | 11.38 | 27 | 10.7-12.2 | 11.46 |
| W* | - | - | - | 26 | $5.9-7.0$ | 6.33 |
| AW | 4 | $5.99-6.68$ | 6.38 | - | - | - |
| PW | 4 | $5.00-5.94$ | 5.52 | - | - | - |

rather elongate last molar for this specimen, more like that of $S$. moornaensis Crab rather than an $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ with a reduced talonid as in $S$.
laniarius or $S$. harrisii. Its more horizontal, oblique anchorage and higher position than the other root tips supports this interpretation.


Fig. 3. Bivariate plots for each of the lower cheek teeth of the two LBP fossils, Sarcophilus sp. 1 (solid circle), and S. sp. 2 (solid square) and other species of the genus. Abscissa and ordinate scales are in mm. Means, ranges and sample sizes are indicated for samples greater than 1 .

There is little surface bone left on the jaw; a small patch remains in the center of the lower half of the lingual side where a 2 mm thick bit remains. As a result the positions of the mental foramen and the masseteric concavity cannot be determined.

Discussion. This specimen has been compared with the following dasyurids: Dasyurus viverinnus (Shaw), Dasyurops maculatus (Kerr),

Glaucodon ballaratensis Stirton, Thylacinus cynocephalus (Harris), Sarcophilus harrisii (Boitard) and S. laniarius Crabb. In the apparent slenderness of the ramus this specimen resembles Tlyylacinus Temminck and Glaucodon Stirton but in the crowding of the premolars and the massiveness of the molars it is more like Sarcophilus. This specimen is not assignable to any known species of Sarcophilus. It is similar


Fig. 4. Sarcophilus sp. 2, NMV P 23240, right ramus shown in: A, labial; B, occlusal; and C, lingual views. The specimen contains $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and roots and/or alveoli of the other tecth.
to, but larger than, S. laniarius. The extensive abrasion makes it impossible to determine its truc proportions, but it appears to be more slender. The $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is more robust than in Sarcophilus laniarins.

Until recently, S. Ianiarius, which has been known only from late Plcistocene deposits, has been regarded as the ancestor of $S$. harrisii (Lydekker 1887; Ride 1964; Calaby and White 1966; Bartholomai and Marshall 1973). Dawson (1982) presented evidence from Wellington Caves materials that both validated the species $S$. laniarius and demonstrated its presence in late Pleistocenc units older than had been recorded previously. The LBP sp. 1 specimen has a morphology intermediate between $S$. harrisii and $S$. laniarius and could be considered ancestral to both species. No morphological feature favors one notion of relationship over the other. The recognition by Crabb (1982) of Sarcophilus moornaensis from the Moorna Sands, considered to be early Pleistocenc in age, further complicates the situation. The size of the dentition suggests that this species is decidedly smaller than either S. harrisii or $S$. Ianiarius and is much smaller than the LBP sp. 2 specimen.

In her study, Dawson (1982) reviewed the then known history of the late representatives of the genus Sarcophilus. She noted that in addition to S. harrisii and S. Ianiarius, at least one (perhaps more) much smaller unnamed specics existed before about 20,000 years ago at Lakes Garnpung and Tandou, and at Dempsey's Lake. Further, she noted the presence of a large species of Sarcophilus (S. laniarius) at Lanceficld and Buchan, also older than 20,000 BP. These new discoverics shed doubt on the dwarfing hypothesis (S. laniarius-S. harrisii) of Marshall (1973) and Marshall and Corruccini (1978).

The oldest record to date is of S. moornaensis reported by Crabb (1982) from the Moorna Sand estimated by him to be early Pleistocene in age or late Pliocene il the correlation of Woodburnc et al. (1985) is accepted. Between this occurrence and the late Pleistocene to Recent record there is a very large temporal gap in Sarcophilus history. The LBP fossils appear to lic in this interval.

The presence of Sarcophilus sp. 2 in the LBP fauna adds a fourth species to the genus and further complicates the taxonomy. This is the largest species so far known. Dawson (1982) pointed out that temporal overlap of two species (a large one and a small) during the Pleistocenc was possible but that no positive sympatry could


Fig. 5. Sarcophilus sp.2, NMV P 23240, positive X-ray print of the right mandibular ramus showing the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and roots of the other teeth. The dashed lines reconstruct the missing molars including the tip of the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ (arrow).
be demonstrated. The presence of two species of Sarcophilus in the LBP Local Fauna is the first documented occurrence of two sympatric species (although not the same species pairs studied by Dawson). It is too early for a definite statement about the phylogenetic relationships of thesc taxa to be made. This must await the recovery of more matcrial.

Order DIPROTODONTA Suborder VOMBATIFORMES Family Vombatidae Lasiorhinus cf. medius (Owen, 1872) (Figs 6-8, Table 3)

Material. NMV P 14121, a well preserved pair of lower jaws with left and right incisors broken off near the alvcolar edge, and with left $M_{2,5}$ right $M_{3-5}$

Deseription. The $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ 's, now lost as a result of breakage during preparation, appear to have been relatively large, judging by the swollen labial side of the left horizontal ramus and from a photograph taken by WDT in 1964 which shows that both premolars were present at that time (Fig. 8A). The ascending ramus of each is incomplete. Each lacks the moicty which extends from slightly above the level of the occlusal surface of the molars to the tip. Also missing are the condyles and the rear parts of the inflected angular processes.

The symphysis is long and dccp, extending posteriorly to the area at the front of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. On the right ramus the deep masscteric fossa is well demarcated ventrally, anterodorsally and posteroventrally. At its decpest point an oval foramen, $5.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, opens into the mandibular canal just ahcad of the opening of the mandibular foramen on the medial side of the ramus where the broad inflected angle is preserved. The angular process forms an open, decp.


Fig. 6. Lasiorhinus cf. L. medius (Owen, 1872), mandible, NMV P 1412: A, $45^{\circ}$ oblique view from the front showing incisors; $\mathbf{1 3}$, dorsal (occlusal); and $\mathbf{C}, 45^{\circ}$ oblique view from the back showing angular process and ascending ramus .
flat-botomed pit for the insertion of the deep pterygoid muscle. The ventral margin of the horizontal ramus is convex downward and is evenly curved from the diastema to the angle. The labial side is slightly bulged but the lingual side is nearly flat, vertical and curves inwards towards the symphysis.

The lower incisors are broken away near the alveolar edge. They are oval in cross section at the break where they measure about 10.9 mm vertically by 7.3 mm horizontally (Figs 6A, 8C). On the left side at the break at the level between $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ the section of the incisors is also oval and of about the same dimensions. On the right side where the break passed between the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ (Fig. 8B) the section seems to be more circular ( $9.2 \times 7.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

The cheek teeth are columnar and open at their bases (Fig. 8B) which reach near to the bottom of the ramus. The $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ has its oval posterior moiety broader than its more rounded anterior one. In the other molars the relative widths of the moieties are reversed, and the size discrepancy between the $M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ increases. $M_{5}$ is about the size of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, but its posterior moiety is much narrower. Mandibular and dental measurements are give in Table 3.

Discussion. McCoy (1876) and others, including Pritchard (1895), referred this specimen
to Phascolomys pliocenus McCoy, 1874, a taxon that Wilkinson (1978) has shown to be a synonym of the living species Vombatus hirsintus (Perry). A number of workers have identified characters that distinguish various taxa of wombats. These have been reviewed in Dawson (1981, 1983a, 1983b). The characters tabulated by Dawson (1983b: Table 1) are used here with modification. The LBP specimen is similar to Lasiorhimus medius (Owen. 1872: Plate 34) in size and general morphology. It differs primarily in having some what larger molars, especially in the molar widths. Other size differences are many but minor (Table 3). The jaw in the LBP specimen is deeper under all teeth than Owen's specimen, except the $\mathrm{M}_{s}$. The symphysis extends slightly farther back than in Owen's specimen, to the level of the anterior lobe of the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ rather than to the rear of the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. The junction of the rami where they join at the symphysis is more rounded. The LBP specimen is more similar to Lasiorlinus medins than to any other taxon of wombats and consequently we assign it to Lasiorhimus of. medins. The ascending ramus appears to have been much like that of Warendja wokefieldi from McEachern's Cave (Hope and Wilkinson 1982) in that the angle " $a$ " seems to have been between $50^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$ and angle " $b$ " is estimated to have been between $16^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ}$. The LBP specimen is


Fig.7. Lasiorhinus cf. L. medius (Owen, 1872), mandible, NMV P 14121: A, lateral; B, ventral views of right ramus; and $\mathbf{C}$, lateral view of left ramus.

Table 3. Dental and mandibular measurements (mm) of Lasiorhinus medius. Ramsayia (P.) magna and Ramsayia lemleyi: ' = dental measurements laken at wear surface whenever possible; ${ }^{2}=$ measured on Owen (1872: Plate 34 ) $;^{3}=$ data from Dawson, (1981); $\mathbf{b}=$ broken; $\sim=$ estinate.

|  | Lasiorhinus <br> of medius <br> NMV <br> P 14121 |  | Lasiorhinus <br> medius <br> Owen's <br> Pararype ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ram } \\ (P .), \\ \mathrm{QM} \\ \mathrm{~F} 834 \end{gathered}$ | msaria <br> magna <br> QM <br> F 7350 | Ramsayia lemleyi ${ }^{3}$ QM F7819 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | L | - | 8.4 |  | 17.0 | 18.5 |
|  | W | - | 6.2 | 10.2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |  | 14.5 | 14.7 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 21.8 |
|  | AW | 8.6 | 7.4 | - | - |  |
|  | PW | 10.3 | 6.6 | - | - | - |
| $M_{3}$ | L | 14.8 | 14.0 | 21.6 | - | 20.7 |
|  | AW | 11.1 | 7.1 | - | - |  |
|  | PW | 10.7 | 8.4 | - | - |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | L | 15.8 | 13.3 | 20.2 | - | 19.7 |
|  | AW | 11.5 | 7.2 | 12.7 | - | 12.5 |
|  | PW | 10.0 | 7.2 | - | - | - |
| $M_{5}$ | L | b 14.2 | 12.9 | 19.0 | - | 20.9 |
|  | AW | >8.1 | 6.4 | 11.5 | - | 10.8 |
|  | PW | 7.2 | 6.0 | - | - | 8.3 |
| Width of $I_{1}$ Depth of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ Ratio W/D |  | 8.6 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 10.7 |
|  |  | 12.2 | 9.0 | 21.0 | -24.0 | 22.7 |
|  |  | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{M}$ |  | - | 61.7 | 107.0 | - | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{M}$ |  | 58.8 | 53.4 | - | - |  |
| Depth of jaw below $P_{3}$ below $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ below $\mathrm{M}_{3-4}$ below $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ |  | w: $\quad 38.4$ | 33.3 | - | - |  |
|  |  | >44.2 | 41.1 | 83.5 | - | 82.0 |
|  |  |  | 45.1 | 80.0 | - | 78.0 |
|  |  |  | 40.4 | - | - |  |
| Diastema: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -31.5 | $\sim 25.5$ | - | - | - |
| depth I, alve |  | colar $\sim 19.1$ | -22.0 | - | - | - |

smaller than the other large wombats such as Ramsayia magna (Owen), R. lemleyi (Areher and Wade) and Phascoloms gigas (Owen).

Comparisons of the LBP specimen with modern Lasiorhims Gray and Vombatus Geoffroy show that it resembles the former genus in the following ways:

1) the upper surface of the symphysis is flat and is bounded by low ridges,
2) the mental foramen is located away from the $P_{3}$,
3) the lower incisors are oval in cross section,
4) the pterygoid fossa is open, deep and not divided by a low ridge as in Vonbatns,
5) the $P_{3}$ is subtriangular in eross section,
6) the maximum depth of the ramus is below $M_{3}$ and,
7) the posterior edge of the symphysis is more $U$ shaped when viewed from below.

It resembles Vombatus in the depth of the masseteric fossa although the shape of the fossa is somewhat different. It is nearly $50 \%$ larger than either of the living taxa in the length of the eheek tooth row and $35 \%$ larger in the depth of the jaw. In general the LBP specimen and Owen's type of "Phascolonys" medius are more similar to Lasiorhimus than to Vombatus which Owen (1872) noted and which led Mareus (1976) to plaee Owen's taxon in Lasiorhinus.

> Superfamily Macropodoidea Family Macropodidae Subfamily Macropodinae Macropus Shaw, 1790 Macropus (Notomacropus) [near M. parryi Bennett] (Figs. 9-10, $11 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}$, Table 4)

Material. NMV P 23220, a right horizontal ramus of a juvenile individual with broken ineisor root, $\mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{3}$ (now exposed by fenestration ol ${ }^{\circ}$ the labial side of the ramus), $\mathrm{M}_{1 \ldots} ;$ NMV P 23280, a lelt horizontal ramus of an adult with $\mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{2-5}$, originally associated with a long bone (possibly a metatarsal $V$ of some large maeropod).

Description. The juvenile specimen, NMV P 23220 (Fig. 9; Table 4), has a small $P_{2}$ with a bulbous erown with a low erest made up of three unequal cuspids. The anterior of these has weaklabial and lingual ridges that extend down nearly to the erown base. The erest of the blade extends forward from this euspid a short distanee before bending sharply downwards and disappears as it approaehes a small anterior cingular prominenee at erown midheight. The central euspid of the crest is the smallest, and it too has weak rounded labial and lingual ridges. The posterior cuspid is the largest and most bulbous of the three, and it eauses the erest to eurve lingually. There is no eingulum on the labial or posterior sides, and only weak ones on the anterior and lingual sides. The erest has wear facets on the labial side, espeeially eaeh cuspid and its ridge. However, anterior progression of the eheek teeth has already advaneed enough to have brought the $P_{2}$ forwards out of any funetional ocelusion. It is inelined downwards on the thin anterior slope of the sigmoid dorsal alveolar edge of the jaw while $M_{1}$ is situated atop that curve.
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are worn with the transverse lophids breached down to the level of the interloph valley. $\ln \mathrm{M}_{\text {, }}$ this wear also breaehes half of the forelink. The molars show a size gradient, $\mathrm{M}_{1}<\mathrm{M}_{2}<\mathrm{M}_{3}<\mathrm{M}_{4}$, and are elongate and


Fig. 8. Mandible of Lasiorhinus cf. L. medius (Owen, 1872) prior to preparation: A, dorsal view of symphyseal area showing $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~s}$ and right $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ prior to their loss during preparation; B , views of the major break, on the left looking posteriorly showing the "roots" of both incisors and most of the left $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and right $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, and the calcite lined vug that overlaid the jaws and teeth (arrows). On the right looking anteriorly: $\mathbf{C}$, the same as for the left side of ( $B$ ), but with the symphyseal piece put into its position: D, ventral view of the jaws showing the major break.


Fig. 9. Macropus (Notomacropus) near M. parryi. A juvenile right horizonal ramus (NMVP 23220): A, lingual; B, stereo-ocelusal; C, labial: and D, dorso-labial views. The unerupted $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ is shown in (C) and (D).
bunolophodont (Fig. 9, Table 4)) with pronounced broad procingulac. Cuspids and lophids are similar in each tooth and are of intermediatc height. The lophids are only slightly convex postcriorly. Execpt for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, in which the anterior lophid is narrow, the lophids of cach tooth are subequal. Fore- and mid-links are distinct, the later being high, and located just slightly labiad the midline of each tooth. No cingulum surrounds the teeth.
The replacement tooth, $\mathrm{P}_{3}$, not clearly shown in the X-ray (Fig. 11 B), now exposed labially in its crypt (Figs 9C, D), is very like the $P_{2}$ in both size and morphology, with a crest comprised of threc cuspids which are more nearly equal to one another in size than those of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$. It has a rounded, weak cingulum labially which extends to an equally weak posterior cingular bulge, and anteriorly to a more substantial procingular one.
The dorsal edge of the horizontal ramus in addition to being sinuous, thins anteriorly, and a labial groove extends from the anterior end of the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ to the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. The mental foramen lies 5.5 mm ahead of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (Fig. 9C), and the broken end of the fragment of the incisor "root" is located just bencath and ahead of the foramen. At the break the incisor measures 6.8 mm vertically by 4.5 mm horizontally. The ramus thickens both
ventrally and posteriorly being 2.9 mm at the alveolar cdge beneath $P_{2}$ and 7.2 mm just beneath the foramen, and 5.9 and 7.8 mm at the rear of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ at the alveolar edge and ncar the bottom of the ramus respectively. The ventral surface of the ramus is missing behind $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, but the margin appears to have bcen nearly straight.

The adult specimen, NMV P 23280, is the most complete of the threc LBP macropodid jaws (Figs 10, 1 IB) consisting of almost all of the ascending and horizontal rami. The horizontal ramus was broken (postmortem) between $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, the anterior portion being twisted down and labially. The ventral edge of the ramus appears to have been nearly straight for most of its length, but it is somewhat crushed and distorted. It is clear that the jaw was deepest bencath $\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and shallower but thicker below and behind $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. There is a very deep and elongated pterygoid fossa on the medial side ol the inflected angular process (Fig. 10C) much like that of modern Macropus irma (Jourdan), but the posterior portion of the fossa and angular process could not be exposed without unduly risking the specimen. An X-ray (Fig. 11B) reveals details that can hardly be seen otherwise such as the condyle and the postcrior edge from condyle to inflected


Fig. 10. Macropus (Notomacropus) near M. parryi. An adult left mandible, NMV P 23280: A, postero-dorso-lateral view of ocelusal surface showing the condyle, and ventral ridge bordering the massseteric fossa; B, stereo-occlusal view ol the cheek teeth; C, lingual view of the mandible showing pterygoid fossa; D, oblique view of labial side showing the ventral ridge of the masseterie fossa and the edge of the condyle. Abbreviations: $\mathbf{c}$, condyle; vr, ventral ridge; ptf, pterygoid fossa.
angular process. On the lateral side, preparation beneath the ventral rim of the massetcric fossa and within the fossa was not possible so only the crest of this rim is seen (Fig. I0A, C, D)

The condyle and most of the ascending ramus remain eneased in matrix because of poor preservation of the bone in these areas. The medial edge of the condyle and its neck have been exposed where the external pterygoid muscle originates. Just ahead of this, the bone margin leading to the coronoid can be seen, and behind and below the condyle the posterior deseending strut that supports the condyle and leads down to the angle is elear, especially in the X-ray (Fig. 11). The antero-posterior dimension of the masseteric fossa of the ascending ramus is relatively greater than is common in most wallabies
(the distance from the vertical anterior edge of the ramus to the posterior edge of the strut beneath the condyle).

The $P_{3}$ is elongate and narrow in crown view. It has advanced and rotated forwards over the sigmoid hump of the alveolar edge of the jaw so that only its posterior cuspid shows a fresh wear facet: the other older facets are less distinct and sharp (Fig. $10 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ). The tooth has a crest comprised of three cuspids. The anterior one is more rounded than the others and has blunt labial and lingual ridges, and there is an anterioreingular bulge at its base. It is separated from the central, smallest cuspid by a shallow U-shaped noteh when vicwed from the side. The middle cuspid has faint labial and lingual ridges that extend down to about midheight of the crown. The

Table 4. Dental measurements in mm of Macropus: $\mathbf{b}=$ broken; $\mathbf{w}=$ worn; $\mathbf{u}=$ unerupted; $*=3.5$ subtracted for gap.

posterior cuspid forms nearly half of the length of the crest which swings lingually at the rear of the tooth. Also at the rear the erest divides, the slightly greater part of its mass is located at the posterolabial corner, and the lesser, more pinched part descends towards a weak posterior cingular bulge. No cingulum is present labially and only weak rounded eingulae oceur lingually, anteriorly and posteriorly.

The molars grade up in size from $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{M}_{2}<\mathrm{M}_{3}<\mathrm{M}_{4}<\mathrm{M}_{5}$ ) and are essentially of the same form as described for the juvenile. The measurements are given in Table 4.

Discussion. Direct comparisons were made with modern wallaby genera using specimens in the Recent mammal collections of the Field Museum and the Texas Memorial Museum (Appendix). The elosest match is with two Macropus parryi speeimens, FMNH 48301 a juvenile, and


Fig. 1t. Positive prints of X-ray photographs of the three wallaby specimens in the LBP Local Fauna: A. Macropus (Notomacropus) near M. parryi, NMV P 23220 . The faint shadow of $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ can be seen; B. Macropus (Notomacropus) ncar M. parryi, NMV P 23280 ; C, Wallabia ef. W. bicolor, NMV P 23221. Left ramus, arrow indicates a feature that resembles a $\mathrm{P}_{3}$; Abbreviations: e, condyle; ep, coronoid process; mf, masseteric fossa; me, masseteric canal; ptf, pterygoid fossa.

42090 a subadult. Next elosest resemblance is to M. eugenii (Desmarest), FMNH 48105, 48370 both juveniles and 49339 an adult, and to an adult Macropus irma, TMM M-2039. Other similarities are seen with Petrogale inornata, FMNH 64352 a juvenile, and 64435 a subadult. Inspection of the DeVis type materials of Halmaturns indra, sira, thor and odin subsequently reported on by Bartholomai ( $1966,1975,1976$ ) has allowed us to eliminate all of them from either this or the following taxon. Assignment to Macropus, near M. parryi is based on many elose resemblanees of dental and jaw features, most significantly the ratio of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ lengths. The ratio of the $P_{2}$ of the juvenile and $P_{3}$ of the adult is 0.94 . The ratio of the mean values of these two teeth in various speeies of Macropus is: M. robustus 0.872; M. fuliginosns (Desmarest) 1.02: M. cangaru 1.069; M. antilopinus $1.005 ;$ M. eugenii

Table 5. Dental measurements (mm) of Wallabia bicolor: al = alvcolar; $\mathbf{u}=$ uncrupted.

|  | LBP SpecimenNMVP 23221 |  | Recent Speeimens |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FMNH FMNH |  | FMNH FMNH |  |
|  |  |  | 64365 | 60892 | 64354 | 48555 |
|  |  |  | Juvenile | Sub. adult | Adult | Subadul with |
|  |  | Left | Left | Right | Left | pathology <br> Right |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | L | - | 5.6 | - | - | 6.1 |
|  | AW | - | 2.6 | - | . | 2.9 |
|  | PW | - | 2.7 | - | - | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | L | 7.5 | - | 7.5 | 7.8 | u 7.4 |
|  | AW | 2.7 | - | 2.3 |  | u $\sim 2.2$ |
|  | PW | 2.9 | - | 2.6 | 2.4 | u |
| M | L | - | 6.0 | - | - | 6.1 |
|  | AW | - | 3.5 | - | - | 3.6 |
|  | PW | - | 4.2 | - | - | 4.3 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | L | - | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
|  | AW | al 2.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
|  | PW | al 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| M | L | - | (1) $\sim 8.0$ | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
|  | AW | al 3.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
|  | PW | al 3.6 | $\mathrm{u}>5.6$ | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| M ${ }_{4}$ | L | - | - | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.8 |
|  | AW | al 3.4 | - | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
|  | PW | al 4.3 | - | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| M | L | - | - 1 | u $\sim 8.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 u>8.6 \\ & 6.2 u>5.5 \\ & 6.1 u>4.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | AW | al 3.6 | - | 6.3 |  |  |
|  | PW | al 3.6 | 4 | u ~5.6 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}-\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ |  | - | - | - | - | 32.9 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{M}_{5} \mathrm{~L}$ |  | ~36.8 | - | $\sim 36.2$ | 36.2 | ~38.2 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1-4}$ | L | - | - | - | - | 28.4 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1-3}$ | L | - | $\sim 20.4$ (R) | , | - | 20.2 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2-5}$ | L | al 29.8 | - | ~29.4 | 29.0 | ~30.1 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2,4}$ | L | al 19.6 | - | 21.1 | 21.0 | 22.3 |

1.01; M. parryi 1.086. This ratio for Thylogale is: T. billiardieri (Desmarest) 0.752; T. brunii (Schreber) 0.710. Thus in this character the LBP specimens are closer to Macropus than to other genera of macropodids. The LBP specimens resemble M. parryi in the arched tooth row that is not so well marked in M. eugenii.
$1 t$ is interesting and probably significant that another medial Pleistocene fauna, the Eastern Darling Downs, has a form, M. thor (De Vis), near the living M. parryi (Barthomai 1975). Using a log-difference diagram, Bartholomai has shown that $M$. tlor, which is about $1 / 3$ larger than M. parryi, has a greater correspondence to it than to either M. rufogriseus (Desmarest) or $M$. dorsalis (Gray). He concluded "cheek teeth in M. thor have more in common with those of $M$. parryi than with other wallabies, and it may be that these species are related". Now, in the medial to early late Pleistocene LBP fauna we have another wallaby similarto, if not conspecific with. M. parryi. However, in this case the fossil is about $10 \%$ smaller than the living form.

## Wallabia Trouessart, 1905 <br> Wallabia cf. W. bicolor (Desmarest, 1804)

(Figs IIC, 12; Table 5)
Materials. NMV P 23221, a left horizontal ramus with broken $\mathrm{l}_{1}$ (root), $\mathrm{P}_{3}$, the roots and alvcoli of $\mathrm{M}_{2.5}$, and the basc of the buttress leading to the ascending ramus .

Description. Enough of the horizontal ramus is preserved to show these features:

1) the ventral margin is nearly straight,
2) the diastema is elongate, 21.0 mm from the front of the anterior root of $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ to the approximate position of the incisor alveolar edge,
3) the mineralized fill of the canal shows that the mental foramen is single and that it cxits about 8 mm ahead of the anterior edge of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$,
4) the lower incisor has an oval cross section at its broken surface (behind but near to the alveolar edge), being higher than wide ( $7.1 \times 5.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ),
5) the dorsal edge of the ramus shows a typical macropodine sinuous upward anterior expansion. but the $P_{3}$ has not drifted out of occlusion and is well anchored in spite of considerable exposure of the top front of its anterior root,
6) there is a labial groove located very near to the alveolar margin that extends from beneath the $P_{3}$ to beneath $M_{3}$ where the ramus is broken, and
7) the ramus is rather massive ventrally with jaw depths being about 20.0 mm beneath $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $M_{1}$ and 17.3 beneath $M_{4}$.

The $P_{3}$ of NMV P 23221 is heavily worn, especially posteriorly. Its longitudinal crest, a pinched blade, has four cuspids. The anterior and posterior ones are the larger two, the former is almost twinned by an anterior extension that carries the crest forwards onto the front edge of the tooth to a bulge in its base, and then on down to a small anterior cingular prominence. The posterior cuspid is weakly bifid postcriorly, the lingual side bcing the posterior end of the blade. Its apex is separated from the more anterior part
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Fig. 12. Wallabia cf. W. bicolor, NMV P 23221: A, labial; B, stereo-occlusal; and $\mathbf{C}$, lingual views.
of the erest by a eleft which has been nearly eliminated by wear, but which is still discemible in ocelusal, lingual and labial views. The two intermediate cuspids are small, especially the anterior one which has sharper, but short labial and lingual ridges. There is a weak, variably developed eingulum extending around the tooth base except for the rear of the tooth where it disappears.

The molars are missing and only alveolar dimensions can be obtained (Table 5). They were about the same size as those of the other LBP species whose $P_{7}$ is a much smaller tooth.

Discussion. This LBP specimen elosely resembles modern speeimens of $W$. bicolor in the Field Museum collection, particularly FMNH 60892 and 64354. The former is a subadult with the $M_{5}$ erupting, the latter with $M_{5}$ showing slight wear. These two modern specimens show variation within the species in the form of the $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~s}$. The LBP specimen resembles the subadult more closely in that both show a clefted condition of the rear of the erest, just anterior to the posterior cuspid. The young adult and the fossil, partly because of greater wear, are eloser in overall slenderness. However, the modern specimen lacks the deep eleft in the erest and its posterior euspid is less prominent than in either the other modern, or the LBP specimens.

The narrow raised sigmoid curve of the alveolar edge of the jaw is higher in the fossil than in the two modern specimens, and the labial groove
is much higher and closer to the jaw margin. We attribute all of these differences to the different age stages represented, and if aligned from youngest to oldest, the groove is seen to migrate upwards with increasing age. In spite of the incomplete nature of this speeimen, the characters of the one tooth and jaw and tooth proportions (alveolar) correspond more elosely to Wallabia bicolor than to any other taxon we examined.

As with Macropus parryi, the LBP fauna has another taxon that is elose to, if not conspecific with, a modern species, Wallabia bicolor. Wallabia indra (De Vis) from the Eastern Darling Downs. seems to belong to the W. bicolor lineage but differs in size from the modern species (Bartholomai 1976). As with the M. parryi material, the LBP specimen of $W$. bicolor is about $1 / 3$ smaller than the material of $W$. indra from the Darling Downs. The eloser size similarity of both taxa to their modern counterparts than to the Darling Downs material suggests a younger age for the LBP fauna.

> Subfamily Sthenurinae Simosthenurus Tedford, 1966 Simosthenurns mccoyi n. sp. (Figs 13-15, Table 6)

Type material. HOLOTYPE: NMV P 23271 2, pair of lower jaws with left and right $P_{3}-\mathrm{M}_{5}$.

Additional material: NMV P 23238-9, right ramus with broken $\mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3.5}$ (Fig. 14, Table


Fig. 13. Simosthenurus mccoyi n. sp. holotype, NMV P 23271-2: A, occlusal; B, right dorso-lateral oblique views: and $\mathbf{C}$, labial view of right ramus.

Table 6. Dental and mandibular measurements (mm) of specimens assigned to Simosthenurus: $\mathbf{b}=$ broken; $\#=$ undesignated position; $\mathbf{r}=$ root measurement; * = measurement made on epoxy cast of natural mold; $\mathbf{w}=$ worn.

|  |  | Sim $\begin{array}{r} N \\ P \end{array}$ | thenurus LBP sp. no <br> V 1-2 | ccori $\begin{aligned} & \text { NMV } \\ & \text { P } 23238-9 \end{aligned}$ | Simosthenurus oreas <br> De Vis <br> Holotype <br> QM <br> F2923 <br> (Bartholomai 1963) |  | Simosthenurus pales de Vis (Halmanurus) Krefft <br> QM AM AM F812 4152119653 (Bartholomai (Tedford 1963) <br> 1963) |  |  | Simosthenurus oriemalis <br> Holotype <br> AM BMNH AM <br> Fl02201 40001 MF912 <br> (Tedford 1966) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | L AW PW | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ 9.5 \\ -11.4^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ 9.8 \\ 12.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{r} 18.4 \\ \text { r } 7.8 \\ \mathrm{r} 9.2 \end{array}$ | $12.3$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ - \\ 7.5 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 19.6 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.8 \\ 9.3 \\ 10.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ 7.9 \\ 10.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ 8.2 \\ 9.3 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | L AW PW | $\begin{gathered} w 13.6 \\ \sim 14.1^{*} \\ \sim 13.9^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} w 13.2 \\ >12.7 \\ \sim 13.7^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { r } 10.6 \\ \text { r~11.3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ - \\ 9.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ - \\ 9.1 \end{gathered}$ | 18.5 | $16.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 12.8 \\ & 13.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & 10.9 \\ & 11.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.1 \\ 9.7 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | L <br> AW <br> PW | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \\ & 15.9 \\ & 15.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ >15.9 \\ 15.1^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}  & 16.6 \\ \text { b } 12.2 \\ \text { b } 13.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ - \\ 10.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ - \\ 10.7 \end{gathered}$ | 21.0 <br>  <br> $1.5 \#$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \\ & 14.9 \\ & 14.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 12.2 \\ & 12.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \\ & 11.0 \\ & 11.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ - \\ 11.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | L <br> AW <br> PW | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 16.6 \\ & 15.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & 15.8^{*} \\ & 15.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \\ 15.5 \\ \text { b } 14.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & 12.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & 11.7 \end{aligned}$ | $22.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4 \\ & 16.0 \\ & 15.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \\ & 13.0 \\ & 13.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4 \\ & 12.2 \\ & 12.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & 12.3 \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | L <br> AW <br> PW | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \\ & 16.4 \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & 16.4 \\ & 14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \\ & 15.2 \\ & 13.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9 \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \\ & 16.0 \\ & 14.2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \\ & 12.7 \\ & 12.1 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | L | 79.5 | 80.2 | - | 30.8 | 31.2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | L | 62.4 | 62.6 | - | 20.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}-\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | L | 47.2 | 47.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

6). NMV P 23284, a right maxillary fragment consisting of the lateral wall of the bone in the area of the roots of the check teeth (Fig. 15). The teeth are broken away obliquely; from approximately the lingual alveolar border to the labial base of the crown. Portions of the roots of the cheek teeth can be seen. An approximate alveolar length of the molar series is 54 mm .

Diagnosis. Intermediate in size between $S$. orientalis (Tedford) and S. pales (De Vis). Differs from these and all other species of the genus in that $P_{3}$ is short relative to the lengths of $M_{3.5}$ being equalled or exceeded in length by each of these molars, $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ is wider for its length ( $77 \%$ of Iength), and the lower molars are nearly equidimensional in occlusal outline with their anterior widths $95-98 \%$ of length.

Description. These two well preserved specimens appear to belong to the same taxon. Dental measurements are given in Table 6, and although specimen P 23238-9 has suffered damage. making accurate width measurements of the molars and the lengths of the $P_{3}$ and $M_{2}$ impossible, it is possible to obtain reasonablc measures for both lophids of $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. The massiveness and depth of the ramus slow that this specimen is
comparable to the more complete type specimen, P 23271-2. The dimensions of the right mandibular rami of these two specimens are: NMV P23271-2. depth anterior to $P_{3} 30.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, dcpth beneath $\mathrm{M}_{5} \gg 28.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, thickness beneath $\mathrm{P}_{3} 24.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, thickncss posterior to $\mathrm{M}_{4} 29.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; NMV P23238-9, depth posterior to $\mathrm{P}_{3} 35.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, depth beneath $\mathrm{M}_{5} 42.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. thickness beneath $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ 19.1 mm , posterior to $\mathrm{M}_{4} 23.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. In the type specimen the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ s are worn so that their posterior moieties are truncated with near-circular dentine "lakes" exposed.

The molars $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2.5}\right)$ are subcqual in bulk. In the $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~s}$ all crown features are gone leaving dentine "lakes" with only very slight enamel surrounds. In the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ s the transverse lophids are truncated by wcar, but their form has not been erased, and the midlink, although breached, is still a raised feature, its bulk largely intact. The $M_{4} s$ and $M_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ are both only slightly worn, each has a slight beveled wear facet extending across the width on the postcrior side of each lophid. The lophids are straight ind both fore-and midlinks are present. There is a strong tendency for the links to be comprised ol a double ridge, and, in the case of the forelink, several more cnamel wrinkles par-
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Fig. 14. Simosthenurus mccoyi n. sp., referred spccimen, NMV P 23238-9, right horizontal ramus: A, labial; $\mathbf{B}$, occlusal; and $\mathbf{C}$. lingual vicws.
allel the link on the anterior face of the protolophid. Specimen P 23238-9 is at a slightly younger wear/age stage than the type specimen in that the crown of its $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ shows a lesser degree of dentine exposure. Its protolophid is breached and the dentine exposurc extends forwards along the forelink, but does not quite reach to the anterior border of the anterior cingulum. The enamel of the hypolophid has only been slightly breached, mostly on the postero-lateral edge of the crest at the hypoconid, and the midlink has not been involved at all. The crenulate ornamentation of the anterior faces of both lophids of $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ and the hypolophid of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ all show a somewhat greater degree of development than is seen in the type.

In the tentatively referred maxillary, the boss of the zygomatic process for attachment of the superfical masseter muscle descends steeply downwards, nearly vertically in front (Fig. 15C). There is a broad. open, relatively deep, anteriorly facing concavity anterior to this boss but no sign of the infraorbital Coramen which would be expected to be located just ahead of the anterior edge of the preserved part of the specimen if it were in its usual position. The process itself is stout, tapers to a blunt point, and is set off 13 mm from the lateral wall of the maxillary. The bone
of the anterior part of the dorso-ventrally expanded zygomatie arch thins rapidly behind the process. In all of thesc features sthenurine proportions are seen, since the size is about right we tentatively assign it to the new species, $S$. mccoyi.

Discussion. The LBP specimens differ from other species of the genus Simosthemurns in the following ways: the ratio of the length to posterior width of the $P_{3}$ crown in NMV P 23271-2 is larger ( 0.77 ) than in any other described species. The crown of $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ is shorter relative to molar length, being equaled or exceeded by $M_{4}$ and $M_{5}$, rather than longer than all molars. Only the smaller S. oreas (De Vis) has a similar proportional relationship. In addition, the proportion of the length to anterior width of the $\mathrm{M}_{3.5}$ is within the range $0.95-0.98$, much closer to equidimensional than in other Simosthenurus in which the anterior molar width is usually 70$80 \%$ of length. In absolute length the LBP specimens have tooth dimensions intermediate between S. orientalis and S. pales. The posterolabial ridge of $P_{3}$ joins the lingual ridge near the midlength, a distinct groove marking the junction, more like the condition in $S$. orientalis than in other taxa.
The lower molars have anteriorly short anterior cinguli, low but well developed links with an


Fig. 15. Simosthenurus mccovi n. sp., referred specimen, NMV P 23284, right maxillary fragment: A, lateral; B. ventral views; and $C$, an explanatory outline drawing of $(A)$ and (B).
additional midlink, lophid crenulations are numerous and strong, especially lingual to the links, and the posterior cingulum forms a weak shelf. There is a mandibular foramen beneath the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, shown on NMV P 23238-9, and a shallow mandibutar groove extending from beneath $P_{3}$ postero-ventrally to end in a pit beneath $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. The mental foramen lies well forward of the anterior root of $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and is inclined at about $45^{\circ}$.

The combination of size and proportional relationships of the dentition marks this as an unique taxon. The morphological features have resemblances to other species of Simosthenurus, but the combination in this taxon has not been recorded so far.

Etymology. Named for F. McCoy, pioneer paleontologist of Victoria, who first described fossils from Limeburner's Point.

## Macropodidac Genus and spccies indet. (Fig. 16)

Material. NMV P 23241, right ramus with nearly all tooth material and compact bonc lost, but with alveoli or root remnants of ?1 $, ? \mathrm{P}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{2-5}$. NMV P 23295, a ?right ramus fragment with
parts of roots of $\mathrm{M}_{4-5}$ or a maxillary fragment with the impressions of the lingual side of the last two upper molars.

Description. Specimen NMV P 23241 has totally lost its external bone, and almost all of its dentition. There is no trace of any compact surface bone, or tooth enamel or dentine of the tooth crowns (Fig. 16A, C). There is left only the internal bone material and partial tooth roots or alveoli, similar to the mode of preservation seen in some specimens from Coimadai (Tumbull et al. 1990).

Discussion. From its overall size and proportions the specimen appears to be from a sthenurine macropodid but the wasted ramus appears to be far too shallow for that to be the case, or for it to be a Macropus. Perhaps it is a Protenuodon Owen.

Family Diprotodontidae Subfamily Diprotodontinae Diprotodon cf. D. optatum Owen, 1838 Diprotodon longiceps McCoy, 1865<br>Diprotodon longiceps Keble, 1945

(Fig. 17, Table 7)
Material. NMV P 13003 (and including one piece with the number P 2327_, probably 23277),


Fig. 16. Indeterminate macropodid left mandibular ramus, NMV P 23241: A, labial; B, dorsal; and C, lingual views. This specimen shows the unusual preservation seen in many of the Coimadai specimens.


Fig. 17. Diprotodon cf. D. optatum, NMV P 13003 in palatal view.
palate with left and right $\mathrm{P}^{3}-\mathrm{M}^{5}$ and alveoli of the $I^{2}$ 's and I's. Keble (1945) erroneously listed the specimen identified by McCoy (1876) as No 13303 when 13003 had been intended. It was originally in six pieces and could only be seen in sections along the breaks, the palate and teeth being covered by five or more centimeters of limestone. This must have been the condition when McCoy examined it. All of the pieces but one ( P 2327_) bore the number 13003, none of them had the number 13303. (T. Rich has verified 13003 as the correct number).

Description. The zygomatic process of the maxillary faces laterally and is located dorsal to
the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}^{4}$. The specimen shows the narrow anterior extension of the maxillary under the premaxillary. The alveolus for the right $I^{2}$ can be seen at the anterior end of the specimen just ahead of the presumed premaxillary-maxillary suture. Nowhere else can this suture be positively identified. The alveolus of the left $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ is broken about 2 cm behind the anterioredge of the alveolar opening, to judge by that of the right $I^{2}$. The premaxillary is broken away on the left side to expose nearly two cm of the root of the $\mathrm{I}^{3}$. At this point the remnant of the root is approximately parallel to the long axis of the premaxillary. Just anterior to this the alveolus


Fig. 18. Zygomaturus sp., NMV P 23235, 23242, edentulous mandibular rami: A, dorsal; B, lateral view of left ramus; and C , lingual view of right ramus.
turns outward about $15^{\circ}$. Part of the root of the right $\mathrm{I}^{3}$ is exposed in a window on the ventral side of the palate near its narrowest point. The anteroposterior diameter of the alveolus for the right $I^{3}$ is 18.3 mm , its transverse diameter is 14.8 mm . What little remains of the poorly preserved palate seems to indicate that its posterior edges extended at least as far back as the rear of the $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger} \mathrm{s}$.

The left $\mathrm{P}^{3}$ is almost complete. It has the "horse shoe" crown morphology characteristic of Diprotodon (Stirton et al. 1967; Archer 1977) with distinct paracone and metacone, each joined to the protoconc by a crest. A prominent ridge extends from the apex of the metacone to its base and forms the posterolingual corncr of the tooth. There is no posterior cingulum. Breakage makes it impossible to determine whether or not there was an anterior cingulum.
The upper molars are bilophodont. The anterior loph is shorter than the posterior onc on $\mathrm{M}^{2}$, about equal to it on $\mathrm{M}^{3}$, and longer on $\mathrm{M}^{+5}$. Prominent anterior cinguli are present on all molars. The posterior loph of $\mathrm{M}^{4}$ and both lophs of $\mathrm{M}^{5}$ are convex anteriorly. Each end of the postcrior face of the anterior lophs of $\mathrm{M}^{2-3}$ has a low rounded ridge that extends into the interloph valley. On the $\mathrm{M}^{-4}$ there is a broad bulge comparable to labial ridges on the $\mathrm{M}^{2 \cdot 3}$. On $\mathrm{M}^{5}$ the condition is more like the condition on $\mathrm{M}^{2}$. The enamel shows the punctate surface formed by fine crenulations mentioned by Archer (1977). Tooth dimensions are given in Table 7.
Discussion. This well preserved specimen was unprepared at the time McCoy (1876) referred it to his D. longiceps (McCoy 1865). The crowns of the cheek teeth arc now revealed and these closely resemble in size and shape comparable teeth in the D. optatmm Owen sample from Lake Callabonna. There secms no reason to refer this material to $D$. longiceps if that is indeed a valid taxon.

## Subfamily Zygomaturinae Zygomaturus sp. <br> (Figs 18:19, Table 7)

Material. Mandible (Fig. 18) consisting of left ramus (Fig. 18B) with alveoli of $\mathrm{M}_{3,1}$ and roots of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ (NMV P 23235 along with 23244. 23246, 23248-9, 23258, 23261-3 and 23266) and right ramus (Fig. 18C) including left and right symphyseal lragments and the partial alvcoli of right $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2-3}, \mathrm{M}_{2.45}$ and left $\mathrm{M}_{3.5}$ (NMV P 23242 along with 23245,23247 , and one piece with its number lost [buried] in the assembly) . NMV P

23243, left ramus fragment with roots of $\mathrm{M}_{4-5}$ (Fig. 19), probably same taxon as P 23235 and 23242 (Table 7). Missing numbers incorporated into one of the composites, most likely the pair of jaws of the Zygomaturus sp. specimen shown in Figure 17, without bcing recorded: NMV P 23254, 23264-5, 23277, 23289.

Description. Both of these rami have only the alveoli of $\mathrm{M}_{3-1}$ and somc of the crown base of the $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ (Fig. 18, Table 7). These poorly preserved fragments clearly pertain to a small diprotodontid, one that is most similar to Zygomaturus Macleay in size and what remains of the morphology. The ends of the oval incisor alveoli extend backwards beneath the $M_{2}$, the end of the symphysis to level of the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, the inferior border of the horizontal ramus is strongly convex, and the anterior edge of the ascending ramus lies behind $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ in NMV P 23235/P23242. In P 23243, presumably the younger specimen, the anterior edge of the ascending ramus lies labiad the posterior root of $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. These features together are typical of Zygomaturus. A form the size of $Z$. trilobus Macleay or Z. victoriae is indicated by the dimensions of the roots of the $\mathrm{M}_{5}$.

Table 7. Measurements (mm) of the Limeburner's Point diprotodontids: $\mathbf{b}=$ broken.


## CONCLUSIONS

The LBP Local Fauna. collected mostly in the late 1880's from freshwater limestones, consists of nine taxa, all of which except two macropodids represent populations differing from known late Pleistocene to Recent species in significant ways. Six belong to extinct lineages. This strongly suggests a greater age, probably mid to early-late Pleistocene, for the fauna. This is in agreement with recent paleomagnetic data (Whitelaw, 1991: in press) wherein the strata containing the LBP Local Fauna were determined to be from one of the two normal polarity intervals younger than 1.66 Ma , cither $0.98-0.91 \mathrm{Ma}$ or 0.73 Ma . Unfortunately we have no firm basis for determining which of the two is the most likely. It seems most likely that the present normal (Brunhes) chron is
involved, as the LBP Local Fauna is composed of seven genera. three of which are common in better known late Pleistocene asscmblages, with the distinctions being confincd to the species level. In addition the small macropodid species seem most similar to living forms, further supporting a later Pleistocene age.

The Limeburner’s Point Local Fauna consists of nine taxa comprising four species of macropodids, one Macropns near M. parryi, Wallabia ef. W. bicolor, a new sthenurine, Simosthemrus mccoyi , and an indeterminate large macropodid. There is one new large wombat that differs from. but is most similar to, Lasiorhinus medins (Owen). Two taxa of diprotodonts are represented, Diprotodon "longiceps" of McCoy which we believe is best referred to $D$. optatum since it is similar to the


Fig. 19. Zygomaturus sp. NMV P 23243, left ramus fragment in: A, dorsal and B, lateral views.

Lake Callabonna sample of mid-Pleistocene age. The other is a Zygomaturus about the size of $Z$. trilobus or Z. victoriae. Finally there are two carnivorous forms both referable to Sarcophilus. One of these (sp. 1) is near to both modern $S$. harrisii and the extinct late-Plcistocene $S$. laniarius, and could be ancestral to both. The other (sp. 2) is dccidedly diffcrent from any known sarcophiline species, being larger and of different proportions and morphology.

A lacustrine enviromment of deposition is indicated by the enclosing matrix of limestone with freshwater mollusks. Most of the fossils appear to be isolated picces, but there is evidence for some partial articulation and also of trampling. Preservation is mostly fairly good, but the matrix is hard and tough and the bone is soft and fragile. The specimens from the more rubbly limestone units show poorer preservation similar to that of the Coimadai Fauna.

With such a small sample of taxa, comparisons to other mid to carly late Pleistocene or later faunas are difficult. However the generic composition of the fauna agrees best with Pleistocene assemblages rather than Pliocenc ones in accord with the stratigraphic evidence. Dated local faunas of this type are few, but the Duck Ponds Local Fauna, which is older than the LBP Local Fauna, possibly in the late Matuyama Chron, also contains taxa common to later Pleistocene assemblages. Evidence accumulated to datc indicates rather uniform generic composition of Australian marsupial faunas during the time of rapid cycling of world climate ( $0.73-0 \mathrm{Ma}$ ).
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## APPENDIX

Materials of extant macropodids examined in comparison with the LBP specimens assigned to Macropus near M. parryi and Wallabia cf. bicolor.

| Dendrolagus goodfellowi | FMNH 60238 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | FMNH 104954 |
| Dorcopsis | lageni | FMNH 31859 |
|  | luctuosa | FMNH 31860 |
|  | mulleri | FMNH 8379 |
|  |  | FMNH 104613 |
| Macropus | eugenii | FMNH 4810 |
|  |  | FMNH 48370 |
|  | irma | FMNH 49339 |
|  | UT TMM-M 2039 |  |


| Macropus | parryi <br> rufogrisea <br> sp. <br> (juv. of M. rufus | FMNH 42090 <br> FMNH 48301 <br> FMNH 58961 <br> FMNH 44874 <br> us or $M$. robustus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petrogale | inornata | FMNH 64352 <br> FMNH 64435 |
| Setonyx | bra | FMNH 67712 <br> FMNH 98787 |
| Thylogale | billiardieri <br> brunii keyseri <br> brunii brownii <br> brunii <br> stigmatica <br> sp . | FMNH 57798 <br> FMNH 98911 <br> FMNH 34207 <br> FMNH 60682 <br> FMNH 31864 <br> FMNH 60777 <br> FMNH 60885 <br> FMNH 60886 <br> FMNH 64356 <br> AMNH 198047 |
| Wallabia | bicolor <br> bicolor apicalis bicolor bicolor | FMNH 64354 <br> FMNH 64365 <br> FMNH 64855 <br> FMNH 60892 <br> FMNH 48555 |
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